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Basic Concepts

- Maximum CPU utilization obtained with multiprogramming
- CPU–I/O Burst Cycle – Process execution consists of a *cycle* of CPU execution and I/O wait
- CPU burst distribution
Alternating Sequence of CPU And I/O Bursts

- load store
- add store
- read from file
- CPU burst
- I/O burst
- CPU burst
- I/O burst
- CPU burst
- I/O burst

- wait for I/O
- store increment
- index
- write to file
- wait for I/O
- load store
- add store
- read from file
- wait for I/O
Histogram of CPU-burst Times

- X-axis: Burst duration (milliseconds)
- Y-axis: Frequency

The graph shows the distribution of burst times with a peak frequency at around 0 milliseconds, decreasing sharply as the burst duration increases.
CPU Scheduler

- Selects from among the processes in memory that are ready to execute, and allocates the CPU to one of them.

- CPU scheduling decisions may take place when a process:
  1. Switches from running to waiting state
  2. Switches from running to ready state
  3. Switches from waiting to ready
  4. Terminates

- Scheduling under 1 and 4 is *nonpreemptive*

- All other scheduling is *preemptive*
Dispatcher module gives control of the CPU to the process selected by the short-term scheduler; this involves:

- switching context
- switching to user mode
- jumping to the proper location in the user program to restart that program

Dispatch latency – time it takes for the dispatcher to stop one process and start another running
Scheduling Criteria

- CPU utilization – keep the CPU as busy as possible
- Throughput – # of processes that complete their execution per time unit
- Turnaround time – amount of time to execute a particular process
- Waiting time – amount of time a process has been waiting in the ready queue
- Response time – amount of time it takes from when a request was submitted until the first response is produced, not output (for time-sharing environment)
Optimization Criteria

- Max CPU utilization
- Max throughput
- Min turnaround time
- Min waiting time
- Min response time
First-Come, First-Served (FCFS) Scheduling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suppose that the processes arrive in the order: $P_1, P_2, P_3$

The Gantt Chart for the schedule is:

```
0   24   27   30
P_1 P_2 P_3
```

- Waiting time for $P_1 = 0$; $P_2 = 24$; $P_3 = 27$
- Average waiting time: $(0 + 24 + 27)/3 = 17$
Suppose that the processes arrive in the order $P_2, P_3, P_1$

The Gantt chart for the schedule is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$P_2$</th>
<th>$P_3$</th>
<th>$P_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Waiting time for $P_1 = 6$; $P_2 = 0$; $P_3 = 3$
- Average waiting time: $(6 + 0 + 3)/3 = 3$
- Much better than previous case
- Convoy effect short process behind long process
Shortest-Job-First (SJR) Scheduling

- Associate with each process the length of its next CPU burst. Use these lengths to schedule the process with the shortest time.

- Two schemes:
  - nonpreemptive – once CPU given to the process it cannot be preempted until completes its CPU burst
  - preemptive – if a new process arrives with CPU burst length less than remaining time of current executing process, preempt. This scheme is known as the Shortest-Remaining-Time-First (SRTF)

- SJF is optimal – gives minimum average waiting time for a given set of processes
Example of Non-Preemptive SJF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival Time</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SJF (non-preemptive)

![Process Chart]

- Average waiting time = \((0 + 6 + 3 + 7)/4 - 4\)
Example of Preemptive SJF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Arrival Time</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SJF (preemptive)

Average waiting time = \((9 + 1 + 0 + 2)/4 - 3\)
Determining Length of Next CPU Burst

- Can only estimate the length
- Can be done by using the length of previous CPU bursts, using exponential averaging

1. \( t_n \) = actual length of \( n^{th} \) CPU burst
2. \( \tau_{n+1} \) = predicted value for the next CPU burst
3. \( \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \)
4. Define: \( \tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha)\tau_n \).
Prediction of the Length of the Next CPU Burst

\[ \tau_i \]

\[ t_i \]

time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPU burst ( (t_i) )</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;guess&quot; ( (\tau_i) )</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of Exponential Averaging

- $\alpha = 0$
  - $\tau_{n+1} = \tau_n$
  - Recent history does not count

- $\alpha = 1$
  - $\tau_{n+1} = t_n$
  - Only the actual last CPU burst counts

- If we expand the formula, we get:
  \[
  \tau_{n+1} = \alpha t_n + (1 - \alpha) \alpha t_{n-1} + \ldots + (1 - \alpha)^j \alpha t_{n-j} + \ldots + (1 - \alpha)^{n-1} t_n \tau_0
  \]

- Since both $\alpha$ and $(1 - \alpha)$ are less than or equal to 1, each successive term has less weight than its predecessor
Priority Scheduling

- A priority number (integer) is associated with each process.
- The CPU is allocated to the process with the highest priority (smallest integer = highest priority)
  - Preemptive
  - nonpreemptive
- SJF is a priority scheduling where priority is the predicted next CPU burst time.
- Problem = Starvation – low priority processes may never execute.
- Solution = Aging – as time progresses increase the priority of the process.
Each process gets a small unit of CPU time (*time quantum*), usually 10-100 milliseconds. After this time has elapsed, the process is preempted and added to the end of the ready queue.

If there are *n* processes in the ready queue and the time quantum is *q*, then each process gets 1/*n* of the CPU time in chunks of at most *q* time units at once. No process waits more than (*n*-1)*q* time units.

**Performance**

- *q* large $\Rightarrow$ FIFO
- *q* small $\Rightarrow$ *q* must be large with respect to context switch, otherwise overhead is too high.
Example of RR with Time Quantum = 20

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Burst Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( P_1 )</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_2 )</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_3 )</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( P_4 )</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The Gantt chart is:

```
    P_1   P_2   P_3   P_4   P_1   P_3   P_4   P_1   P_3   P_3
  0  20  37  57  77  97 117 121 134 154 162
```

- Typically, higher average turnaround than SJF, but better response
Time Quantum and Context Switch Time

- Process time = 10
- Quantum: 12, Context switches: 0
- Quantum: 6, Context switches: 1
- Quantum: 1, Context switches: 9
Turnaround Time Varies With The Time Quantum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>process</th>
<th>time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_4$</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Multilevel Queue

- Ready queue is partitioned into separate queues: foreground (interactive) and background (batch).

- Each queue has its own scheduling algorithm:
  - foreground – RR
  - background – FCFS

- Scheduling must be done between the queues:
  - Fixed priority scheduling; (i.e., serve all from foreground then from background). Possibility of starvation.
  - Time slice – each queue gets a certain amount of CPU time which it can schedule amongst its processes; i.e., 80% to foreground in RR
  - 20% to background in FCFS
Multilevel Queue Scheduling

- System processes (highest priority)
- Interactive processes
- Interactive editing processes
- Batch processes
- Student processes (lowest priority)
Multilevel Feedback Queue

A process can move between the various queues; aging can be implemented this way.

Multilevel-feedback-queue scheduler defined by the following parameters:

- number of queues
- scheduling algorithms for each queue
- method used to determine when to upgrade a process
- method used to determine when to demote a process
- method used to determine which queue a process will enter when that process needs service
Example of Multilevel Feedback Queue

- Three queues:
  - $Q_0$ – time quantum 8 milliseconds
  - $Q_1$ – time quantum 16 milliseconds
  - $Q_2$ – FCFS

- Scheduling
  - A new job enters queue $Q_0$ which is served FCFS. When it gains CPU, job receives 8 milliseconds. If it does not finish in 8 milliseconds, job is moved to queue $Q_1$.
  - At $Q_1$ job is again served FCFS and receives 16 additional milliseconds. If it still does not complete, it is preempted and moved to queue $Q_2$. 
Multilevel Feedback Queues

quantum = 8

quantum = 16

FCFS
Multiple-Processor Scheduling

- CPU scheduling more complex when multiple CPUs are available
- *Homogeneous processors* within a multiprocessor
- *Load sharing*
- *Asymmetric multiprocessing* – only one processor accesses the system data structures, alleviating the need for data sharing
Real-Time Scheduling

- **Hard real-time** systems – required to complete a critical task within a guaranteed amount of time
- **Soft real-time** computing – requires that critical processes receive priority over less fortunate ones
Dispatch Latency

- Event
- Response Interval
- Process Made Available
- Dispatch Latency
- Real-Time Process Execution
- Conflicts
- Dispatch
- Time
Algorithm Evaluation

- Deterministic modeling – takes a particular predetermined workload and defines the performance of each algorithm for that workload
- Queueing models
- Implementation
Evaluation of CPU Schedulers by Simulation

- Actual process execution
- Simulation
  - FCFS
    - Performance statistics for FCFS
  - SJF
    - Performance statistics for SJF
  - RR (Q = 14)
    - Performance statistics for RR (Q = 14)
- Trace tape

Silberschatz, Galvin and Gagne ©2003
Solaris 2 Scheduling

- Global priority: highest to lowest
- Scheduling order: first to last
- Class-specific priorities:
  - Real time
  - System
  - Interactive and time sharing
- Scheduler classes:
  - Kernel threads of real-time LWPs
  - Kernel service threads
  - Kernel threads of interactive and time-sharing LWPs

Operating System Concepts with Java
## Windows XP Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>real-time</th>
<th>high</th>
<th>above normal</th>
<th>normal</th>
<th>below normal</th>
<th>idle priority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>time-critical</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>highest</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>above normal</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>normal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>below normal</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lowest</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>idle</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Linux Scheduling

- Two algorithms: time-sharing and real-time

- Time-sharing
  - Prioritized credit-based – process with most credits is scheduled next
  - Credit subtracted when timer interrupt occurs
  - When credit = 0, another process chosen
  - When all processes have credit = 0, recrediting occurs
    - Based on factors including priority and history

- Real-time
  - Soft real-time
  - Posix.1b compliant – two classes
    - FCFS and RR
    - Highest priority process always runs first
Thread Scheduling

- Local Scheduling – How the threads library decides which thread to put onto an available LWP

- Global Scheduling – How the kernel decides which kernel thread to run next
Pthread Scheduling API

```c
#include <pthread.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#define NUM THREADS 5
int main(int argc, char *argv[])
{
    int i;
    pthread t tid[NUM THREADS];
    pthread attr t attr;
    /* get the default attributes */
    pthread attr init(&attr);
    /* set the scheduling algorithm to PROCESS or SYSTEM */
    pthread attr setscope(&attr, PTHREAD SCOPE SYSTEM);
    /* set the scheduling policy - FIFO, RT, or OTHER */
    pthread attr setschedpolicy(&attr, SCHED OTHER);
    /* create the threads */
    for (i = 0; i < NUM THREADS; i++)
    {
        pthread create(&tid[i],&attr,runner,NULL);
    }
}
/* now join on each thread */
for (i = 0; i < NUM THREADS; i++)
    pthread join(tid[i], NULL);

} /* Each thread will begin control in this function */
void *runner(void *param)
{
    printf("I am a thread\n");
    pthread exit(0);
}


Java Thread Scheduling

- JVM Uses a Preemptive, Priority-Based Scheduling Algorithm

- FIFO Queue is Used if There Are Multiple Threads With the Same Priority
Java Thread Scheduling (cont)

JVM Schedules a Thread to Run When:

1. The Currently Running Thread Exits the Runnable State
2. A Higher Priority Thread Enters the Runnable State

* Note – the JVM Does Not Specify Whether Threads are Time-Sliced or Not
Since the JVM Doesn’t Ensure Time-Slicing, the yield() Method May Be Used:

```java
while (true) {
    // perform CPU-intensive task
    . . .
    Thread.yield();
}
```

This Yields Control to Another Thread of Equal Priority
# Thread Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priority</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thread.MIN_PRIORITY</td>
<td>Minimum Thread Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thread.MAX_PRIORITY</td>
<td>Maximum Thread Priority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thread.NORM_PRIORITY</td>
<td>Default Thread Priority</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Priorities May Be Set Using `setPriority()` method:
```
setPriority(Thread.NORM_PRIORITY + 2);
```